



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Edh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,296	11/27/2001	Srinivas Kandala	8371-143	8998

7590 05/17/2005

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
1030 S.W. Morrison Street
Portland, OR 97205

EXAMINER

YANG, LINA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2665

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/995,296	KANDALA, SRINIVAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lina Yang	2665	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11/27/2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the first time appearance of "DIFS" on page 13 line 30 needs to be defined. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-7, 10-16, 19-25 and 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Benveniste (U. S. Patent Application No. 20020163933 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 19 and 28 (differ only by statutory class), Benveniste teaches a device comprising: a physical communication component (Fig. 1A and 2A; [0033] and [0118]); and a processor coupled with the physical communication component (Fig. 1A and 2A; [0033] and [0118], inherent), in which the processor is

Art Unit: 2665

adapted to receive contending requests for respective wireless transmissions through a medium ("RTS frame"; [0042]) schedule an ending time of a time window during which subsequent contending requests are impermissible ([0054]); communicate the scheduled ending time; monitor the medium ([0054]); determine that one of the wireless transmissions through the monitored medium ended before the scheduled ending time (by "contention-free end frame 126" , [0054]); and communicate that subsequent contending requests are permissible even if made before the scheduled ending time ([0054]).

Regarding claims 2, 11, 20 and 29 (differ only by statutory class), Benveniste further teaches that the device is further adapted to: detect an idle time in the medium ([0046]); and compare the idle time to a preset minimum time ([0046]); and in which the wireless transmission is determined to have ended if the idle time is longer than the preset minimum time ([0046]) (in addition, all limitations are inherently satisfied for using CSMA).

Regarding claims 3, 12, 21 and 30 (differ only by statutory class), Benveniste further teaches that the device is further adapted to: start an idle counter if the medium is detected to be idle ("idle timer"; [0050]).

Regarding claims 4, 13, 22 and 31 (differ only by statutory class), Benveniste further teaches that the preset minimum time equals a DIFS ([0046]).

Regarding claims 5, 14, 23 and 32(differ only by statutory class), Benveniste teaches a device comprising: a physical communication component (Fig. 1A and 2A; [0033] and [0118]); and a processor coupled with the physical communication component (Fig. 1A and 2A; [0033] and [0118] inherent), in which the processor is adapted to receive data about a contention-free time window regarding a medium ([0054]); decode from the data a scheduled ending time of the time window ([0054] inherent); then receive notification that contention will be permitted before the scheduled ending time (by "contention-free end frame 126" in [0054]); and contend for the medium before the scheduled ending time pursuant to the notification ([0054]).

Regarding claims 6, 15, 24 and 33 (differ only by statutory class), Benveniste further teaches that the device receiving notification includes receiving and interpreting a terminating frame ([0054]).

Regarding claims 7, 16, 25 and 34 (differ only by statutory class), Benveniste further teaches that the processor is further adapted to: adjust a contention mechanism to prevent contending for the medium before the scheduled ending time ([0054] and [0058]); and pursuant to the notification readjust the contention mechanism to enable contending for the medium before the scheduled ending time ([0054]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 8-9, 17-18, 26-27 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benveniste (U. S. Patent Application No. 20020163933 A1) in view of Sherman (U. S. Patent Application No. 20020150095 A1).

Regarding claims 8, 17, 26 and 35 (differ only by statutory class), Benveniste teaches that adjusting the contention mechanism includes setting a counter to count down commensurately with the scheduled ending time ([0042] and [0058]). Benveniste differs from the claimed invention in that Benveniste does not specifically teach that readjusting the contention mechanism includes advancing the counter to a smaller value. However, it is well known in the art to use Contention Free-End frame or message to reset NAV counter, for example, Sherman teaches using the Contention Free-End message to indicate the end of the period of time for suppressing transmission from other stations, such that the station within range of the signal will update the station's network allocation vector (NAV) to indicated the reset time of the network allocation vector (NAV). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of

Art Unit: 2665

ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to clearly incorporate readjusting the contention mechanism includes advancing the counter to a smaller value, such as the one taught by Sherman into the assembly taught by Benveniste simply in order to save the communication bandwidth and to increase the communication efficiency.

Regarding claims 9, 18, 27 and 36 (differ only by statutory class), the modified assembly of Benveniste and Sherman further teaches that the smaller value is zero (inherent by "resetting" the NAV).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lina Yang whose telephone number is (571)272-3151. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2665

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LY

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Huy D. Vu', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

HUY D. VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600